

Date: Thu, 11 Nov 93 04:30:11 PST
From: Ham-Policy Mailing List and Newsgroup <ham-policy@ucsd.edu>
Errors-To: Ham-Policy-Errors@UCSD.Edu
Reply-To: Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu
Precedence: Bulk
Subject: Ham-Policy Digest V93 #439
To: Ham-Policy

Ham-Policy Digest Thu, 11 Nov 93 Volume 93 : Issue 439

Today's Topics:

Forcing RAH quotes (was This is a hobby..)
H. J. Res 199 - Does anyone know what it is?
No code tech are villians.
Oldest Club in the County
Ten-four (was The League SUPPORTS Morse...
The League SUPPORTS Morse... (2 msgs)
Think, don't react (was Re: This is a h
This is a hobby not a career (was: 3rd

Send Replies or notes for publication to: <Ham-Policy@UCSD.Edu>
Send subscription requests to: <Ham-Policy-REQUEST@UCSD.Edu>
Problems you can't solve otherwise to brian@ucsd.edu.

Archives of past issues of the Ham-Policy Digest are available
(by FTP only) from UCSD.Edu in directory "mailarchives/ham-policy".

We trust that readers are intelligent enough to realize that all text
herein consists of personal comments and does not represent the official
policies or positions of any party. Your mileage may vary. So there.

Date: 8 Nov 93 17:05:21
From: pacbell.com!well!nigel.msen.com!yale.edu!spool.mu.edu!olivea!korie!
male.EBay.Sun.COM!jethro.Corp.Sun.COM!exodus.Eng.Sun.COM!appserv.Eng.Sun.COM!
appserv!rfm@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Forcing RAH quotes (was This is a hobby..)
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <kXLNcc2w165w@mystis.wariat.org> dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill
N8PKV) writes:

>robert@amanda.jpunix.com (Robert) writes:

>> -----
>> | A "Codeless Technician" is a contradiction in terms. Most |
>> | self-described "technicians" are not skilled; they simply assume |
>> | false colors. When the wind changes, they hoist the Jolly Roger. |

```
>> -----
>
>For those keeping track the original quote is as follows;
>
>A "pacifist male" is a contradiction in terms. Most self-
>described "pacifists" are not pacific; they simply assume false
>colors. When the wind changes, they hoist the Jolly Roger.
```

OK, now help me figure out what Robert's trying to say here. The original quote is claiming pacifists will turn to violence the minute that they see an advantage to doing so. So, Robert's modified quote means that no-code techs also will turn violent at the first opportunity. But since "no-code" isn't the antithesis of "violent", this loses the point of the original aphorism. Either Robert messed up typing the substitution, or he simply didn't understand the original quote.

Giving Robert the benefit of the doubt, let's substitute "code/no-code" for "violent/pacifist" everywhere in the quote.

```
-----
| A "Codeless Technician" is a contradiction in terms. Most      |
| self-described "technicians" are not skilled; they simply assume |
| false colors. When the wind changes, they get out the key.      |
-----
```

In other words, when a no-code tech finds that he or she wants to do something that requires code, they learn it. Pretty reasonable, and basically what those of us arguing in favor of reducing or eliminating the code requirements have been saying. Even the middle sentence is not a slur, since Tech license holders are not "self described" Technicians, the FCC chose that description. So that must not be what Robert meant.

Of course, the closest parallel construction would be

```
-----
| A "Codeless Technician" is a contradiction in terms. Most      |
| self-described "codeless" really know code; they simply assume |
| false colors. When the wind changes, they get out the key.      |
-----
```

Here at least the modified quote makes sense. It's clearly false, but at least it's clear.

Robert, here's my suggestion for the next Lazarus Long quote to butcher. (I'm doing this from memory, apologies for the incompleteness and inaccuracy.)

A human being should be able to compose a sonnet, deliver a baby,

comfort the sick, fight a war, build a house, [etc.etc.] Specialization is for insects.

My suggested rewriting:

A ham should be able to send and receive Morse code at 20 WPM.

Pretty snappy, eh?

Rich

--

Rich McAllister (rfm@eng.sun.com)

Date: Tue, 9 Nov 1993 02:54:41 GMT

From: pacbell.com!amdahl!netcomsv!netcom.com!tweek@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: H. J. Res 199 - Does anyone know what it is?

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

I just received a letter in the mail today from my US Representative. I assume that he got my name from other than the Ham Callsign database since it was addressed to me WITH my middle name included... my ticket only carries the initial "D".

The Representative who sent it is Bill Baker, 10th District, CA and he serves on the following committees:

- Committee on Public Works and Transportation
 - subs: - Economic Development
 - Investigations and Oversight
 - Surface Transportation
- Committee on Science, Space and Technology
 - Energy
- Republican Research Committee
 - Health Care Task Force - Vice Chairman
- Illegal Immigration Task Force

The letter went:

November 2, 1993

I understand the important role that amateur radio operators play in our society and would like to let you know that I have cosponsored legislation protectiong and enhancing amateur radio.

Recently, we have seen amateur radio operators play vital roles during life-threatening natural disasters such as Hurricanes Hugo and Andrew, the Loma Prieta earthquake, the eruption of Mt. St. Hellens, and the California wildfires. We must ensure that the government's thirst for regulation and control does not interfere with the unique role amateur radio operators play in our society.

I have cosponsored H.J.Res 199 which seeks to ensure that the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) does not interfere with amateur radio. Specifically, the bill finds that the FCC should make reasonable accommodation for the effective operation of amateur radio from residences, private vehicles, and public areas. It also calls upon the FCC to enhance the development of amateur radio by developing rules and regulations that encourage the use of new technologies within the amateur radio service.

I hope this legislation will help ensure that the federal government does not unduly interfere with amateur radio operators. Please let me know if I can be of any assistance in the future.

Sincerely
s/Bill
Bill Baker
Member of Congress

So, what is known of this Resolution? Forgive me if it was mentioned already in either of these two newsgroups... I scanned the subjects of all 1500+ articles in the spool, and nothing seemed to mention it... I did NOT scan the articles... as a grep for 199 would present EVERY article with a date of 1993 in it... sigh....

What two committies are involved? I assume H.J. refers to House Joint... I would suspect the Science, Space, and Technology committee for one... is the other one a Senate committee... or another house committee?

tweek@netcom.com tweek@tweekco.uucp WWIVNet 1@511 DoD #MCMLX N6QYA
I'd rather get my cable service from the phone company,
than my phone service from the cable company.

Date: Thu, 11 Nov 93 01:59:59 CST
From: tadpole.com!news.dell.com!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!
robert@uunet.uu.net
Subject: No code tech are villians.
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

lkollar@nyx10.cs.du.edu (Larry Kollar) writes:

>
> robert@amanda.jpunix.com (Robert) writes:
>
> >I'm all for progress. Then again, I'm all for fairness.
>
> So you voted for Clinton?
>

No. President Clinton is a moron, and so is her husband.

> > Is it fair to
> >require that a group of licensees must learn a language, and then another
> >group is allowed to bypass that element for the same privileges?
>
> Translation: "I had to do it, so you have to."

Sure. Why should a group of amateurs be allowed to have access to bands and privileges that they have not earned? Look at the history of our hobby. For the past two decades, the written exams have steadily become easier and easier, yet the Morse elements have remained the same. Based on that, it would seem that these are the only true tests of skill left.

--Robert

| Anyone who cannot cope with Morse code is not fully human. At best |
| he is a tolerable subhuman who has learned to wear shoes, bathe, and |
not make messes in the house.

Date: Thu, 11 Nov 93 01:39:25 CST
From: library.ucla.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!
menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!robert@network.ucsd.edu

Subject: Oldest Club in the County
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV) writes:

> Either way I feel I deserve an appology for attributing something to me
> that you admit was your inference. I am prepared to wait until hell
> freezes over. I never expect civilized manors from "No-Clues".

Hold it! Stop the presses! It looks like Dan, contrary to his earlier statement, is once again responding to me. Listen Dan, if you spent only half the time working on your upgrade as you do recharging your sick ego on USENET, you'd be well on your way to becoming an Extra. Just think, you too could put something pointless in your .sig, like Dana.

--Robert

| Anyone who cannot cope with Morse code is not fully human. At best |
| he is a tolerable subhuman who has learned to wear shoes, bathe, and |
| not make messes in the house. |

Date: Thu, 11 Nov 93 01:32:23 CST
From: library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!gatech!swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!
unkaphaed!amanda!robert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: Ten-four (was The League SUPPORTS Morse...
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

rcrw90@email.mot.com (Mike Waters) writes:

> In the Phoenix area someone who does that is more likely to be a police
> officer or firefigher. Due to the efforts of at least one local club quite
> a few of them are now licensed (yes, no code techs). Needless to say they
>
> Anyway, not everyone who uses "10-4" or "20" is a CBer!

No, they aren't. As you clearly pointed out, they are Codeless Techs.

--Robert

| Anyone who cannot cope with Morse code is not fully human. At best |
| he is a tolerable subhuman who has learned to wear shoes, bathe, and |

| not make messes in the house. |

Date: Thu, 11 Nov 93 01:35:00 CST
From: library.ucla.edu!europa.eng.gtefsd.com!howland.reston.ans.net!cs.utexas.edu!
swrinde!menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!robert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: The League SUPPORTS Morse...
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV) writes:

> After your formal PUBLIC apology for missquoting me I will consider a
> futher response. Until then, you are beneath my contempt and not worth
> my time.

Excellent! Perhaps when you've passed Element 1(C), you will be worth
MY time.

--Robert

| Anyone who cannot cope with Morse code is not fully human. At best |
| he is a tolerable subhuman who has learned to wear shoes, bathe, and |
| not make messes in the house. |

Date: Thu, 11 Nov 93 01:43:16 CST
From: library.ucla.edu!agate!howland.reston.ans.net!cs.utexas.edu!swrinde!
menudo.uh.edu!jpunix!unkaphaed!amanda!robert@network.ucsd.edu
Subject: The League SUPPORTS Morse...
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

dan@mystis.wariat.org (Dan Pickersgill N8PKV) writes:

> Robert, you are a FUCKING ASSHOLE!!!

Stop those presses AGAIN, Dan is about to make another intelligent
comment. So Dan, why do you say one thing and do another. What is it that
makes you a compulsive liar? Seems we have a real no-clue on our hands.

--Robert

```
-----
| Anyone who cannot cope with Morse code is not fully human.  At best |
| he is a tolerable subhuman who has learned to wear shoes, bathe, and |
| not make messes in the house.                                     |
-----
```

Date: Tue, 9 Nov 93 19:56:35 GMT
From: mnemosyne.cs.du.edu!nyx10!jmaynard@uunet.uu.net
Subject: Think, don't react (was Re: This is a h
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <Bw6kcc4w165w@amanda.jpunix.com>,
Robert <robert@amanda.jpunix.com> wrote:
>Why Dana, I'm flattered. But alas, I cannot take credit for the "10-4
>Good Buddy" statement. That useless and pathetic statement is one that is
>continually uttered by a couple of useless and pathetic Codeless
>Technicians on a Two Meter repeater here in Houston.

Now you know why I don't get on 2 any more, except for packet - and that only
for TCP/IP.

Two meters rots your brain.

--

Jay Maynard, EMT-P, K5ZC, PP-ASEL | Never ascribe to malice that which can
jmaynard@oac.hsc.uth.tmc.edu | adequately be explained by stupidity.
"The road to Usenet is littered with dead horses." -- Jack Hamilton

Date: Wed, 10 Nov 1993 20:11:24 GMT
From: news.Hawaii.Edu!uhunix3.uhcc.Hawaii.Edu!jherman@ames.arpa
Subject: This is a hobby not a career (was: 3rd
To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

In article <eeJsc2w165w@amanda.jpunix.com> robert@amanda.jpunix.com (Robert)
writes:

>

>I guess you know that as of last August, the Coast Guard abandoned
>monitoring 500 KHz for CW distress calls. Many people, especially
>Codeless Techs, have used this as an example that CW has reached the
>end of its useful life. I disagree. While the CG is no longer monitoring
>500 KHz for distress, they are STILL actively monitoring the HF CW

>Maritime bands. Aside from that, simple economics dictate that most of
>the ships from less wealthy nations will be equipped with CW only, since
>they can afford little else.

>

>Your thoughts?

>

> --Robert

>

I have repeatedly pointed out to everyone that maritime HF CW is still as active as ever. Tune accross the CW calling frequencies (ship's calling subband; shore stations operate on fixed freqs) during the weather OBS period (every 6 hours, every ship at sea passes current weather and sea conditions to what ever shore station they can contact). Those subbands will be jammed!

Ship owners are cheap (yes Gary, you are correct); CW equipment is inexpensive compared with other available modes. Ships will continue to use CW as long as there are shore stations who will still monitor the CW bands. So the trend to follow is what move the shore stations make.

Oh, one other point; 500 kHz is still in use. Non-government shore stations in the U.S. still monitor it as do other nations around the world. Traffic to be passed at night is almost always done on MF, since 600 meters is VERY consistant with regard to propagation. As I mentioned before, transoceanic comms are the norm every night of the year.

Jeff NH6IL (ex: WA6QIJ)

Date: Mon, 8 Nov 1993 19:46:15 GMT

From: news.service.uci.edu!ttinews!avatar!sorgatz@network.ucsd.edu

To: ham-policy@ucsd.edu

References <2b3slj\$gi7@news.acns.nwu.edu>, <2b3v1vINNc7f@abyss.west.sun.com>, <CFwBt5.I50@murdoch.acc.Virginia.EDU>

Subject : Re: Excuuuuuse me! (was Re: Homonauseated [was: GAY & QST])

In article <CFwBt5.I50@murdoch.acc.Virginia.EDU> jeg7e@livia.acs.Virginia.EDU (Jon Gefaell) writes:

>In article <2b3v1vINNc7f@abyss.west.sun.com>,

>Dana Myers <myers@cypress.West.Sun.COM> wrote:

>>Please! This is rec.radio.amateur.policy. If people want to discuss

>>homosexuality, great, but there are better newsgroups. This thread

>>is no longer related to radio...

>

>is to realize that Queers are _everywheres_ and we're not hiding anymore.

>
>Dana, I've admired your input often, though I haven't agreed with everything
>you have to say. I understand that you may be a bit tired of the subject. In
>that case I suggest you simple do not read the Queer related articles. Use a
>kill file, make use of a thread selector, whatever. Don't you think that is
>reasonable?

>
>
>--

Aw crist...Jon, the truth is most of the audience has already put you and the rest of this tiny, little, squeaky/vocal minority into the kill file. You and rest of the Lambda-limpwrists are just another example of a minority pressure group, grimly determined to take on a social engineering project that you will not be able to finish!

#1) YOU CANT MAKE PEOPLE LIKE SOMETHING THAT THEY DONT!

#2) EVEN IF YOU CHANGE THE LAW, THE PEOPLE WILL STILL NOT LIKE IT.

#3) THE EVENTUAL BACKLASH IS GONNA BE A BUMMER. (trust me, this one's not to be under estimated...some of us have been quiet for quite a while, and are damn sick of it!)

#4) PEOPLE DON'T HAVE TO LISTEN. YOU MAY "NEED" SOMEONE TO LISTEN, THIS DOES

NOT MEAN ANYONE IS UNDER ANY OBLIGATION TO DO SO! (I really don't care how miserably you and others have "suffered" under your "opression"...blea! Don't even crank this kind of a show up...be as "OUT as you want...just don't think that you have a special right to insist I listen...I'll turn a cold ear to any such insistance.)

#5) THIS IT ISN'T ABOUT Amateur Radio...it's about your group's seeming need to annoy the rest of us and insist on special treatment. Go away, I dont have to like your cause, or respect it or even acknowledge it's existance if I decide to IGNORE IT! Remember the old adage of: "If you can't hear em, you can't work em!"...?? I guess you might say that some of us are quite LITERALLY turning on a filter...tuned to the QRM you and your people are causing - both here and IRL..so get a clue!

(activating the Lambda-Lid Filter...)

-Avatar-> (aka: Erik K. Sorgatz) KB6LUY +-----+
TTI(es@soldev.tti.com)or: sorgatz@avatar.tti.com *Government produces NOTHING!*
3100 Ocean Park Blvd. Santa Monica, CA 90405 +-----+
(OPINIONS EXPRESSED DO NOT REFLECT THE VIEWS OF CITICORP OR ITS MANAGEMENT!)

End of Ham-Policy Digest V93 #439
